

Book II
Statistics of the Disciplinary Responsibility and Disciplinary Prosecution of
Judges

1. The results of the hearing the claims examined by the Department of Judicial Ethics and Disciplinary Prosecution of the High Council of Justice of Georgia

By 2012, 905 applications (claims, letters) had been filed before the High Council of Justice of Georgia, out of which 844 were filed in 2012.

According to the examination results of the above mentioned applications (claims, letters):

1. The disciplinary proceedings against judges were terminated in 201 disciplinary cases (22,2%).
2. In 15 disciplinary cases, private recommendation letters were applied to the judges (1,7%).
3. In 11 disciplinary cases (0,8%), the judges gave the explanatory notes:
 - (a) In 4 disciplinary cases, disciplinary liability was imposed on the judges;
 - (b) In 3 disciplinary cases, private recommendation letter were applied to the judges;
 - (c) On 2 disciplinary cases, the disciplinary proceedings proceed;
 - (d) On 2 disciplinary cases, the disciplinary proceedings against the judges were terminated.
4. 620 applications and letters (68,5%) - the applications filed on the same matters were united with the other applications (claims, letters), the applications also were sent according to the jurisdiction and the citizens were informed in reply to their letters.
5. The validity of initiating the disciplinary proceedings is being examined based on 58 applications (claims, letters) (6,4%).

2. Results of the cases heard by the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia

In 2012, 6 disciplinary cases were filed before the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, out of which 4 were lodged in 2012.

In 2011, 4 disciplinary cases were heard against 5 judges by the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia.

1. In 1 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, 1 judge was applied to by private recommendation letter.
2. In 1 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, 1 judge was charged with disciplinary liability and disciplinary penalty (rebuke).
3. In 1 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, 2 judges were charged with disciplinary liability and disciplinary penalty (reprimand).
4. In 1 disciplinary case, by the decision of the Disciplinary Board of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia, the disciplinary prosecutions were terminated against 1 judge.

3. Results of the hearing the claims filed at the Disciplinary Chamber of the Supreme Court of Georgia

During 2012 the judgments of the Disciplinary Panel of Judges of the Common Courts of Georgia were not appealed before the Disciplinary Chamber of the Supreme Court of Georgia. The claims concerning the four judgments of Ethics Commission of Georgian Bar Association were filed at the Disciplinary Chamber of the Supreme Court of Georgia. All four cases were examined and the appealed decisions remained unchanged.